



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,222	11/27/2003	Khai Hee Kwan		7484

23336 7590 11/09/2007  
KHAI HEE KWAN  
PETI SURAT 1178  
SANDAKAN, 90713  
MALAYSIA

EXAMINER

MERCHANT, SHAHID R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3692

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/09/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/728,222	Applicant(s) KWAN, KHAI HEE	
	Examiner Shahid R. Merchant	Art Unit 3692	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5-8,12-15 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4, 9-11 and 16-18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Status of the Claims***

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on October 26, 2007. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1-14 have been amended.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

2. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
3. Claims 2-4, 9-11 and 16-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-8, 12-15 and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Objections***

5. Claims 2-4, 9-11 and 16-18 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

8. Regarding claim 1, Applicant recites in lines 5-8 receiving over said network at said central controller, vehicle pricing information comprising first data representative of time to delivery of said new vehicle, a second data representative of a delivery destination of said new vehicle and third data representative of a price said user is willing to pay for said new vehicle. It is ambiguous and confusing as to who or what is providing and receiving the various data. It is not clear who is sending the central controller vehicle pricing information comprising first data representative of time to delivery of said new vehicle, a second data representative of a delivery destination of said new vehicle and third data representative of a price said user is willing to pay for said new vehicle. A user could send the third data, however it would be unlikely the user sending the first and second data. On the other hand, the vehicle manufacture system could send the first and second data, however it would be unlikely the vehicle manufacture system sending the third data.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 1, 5-8, 12-15 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buy car online to beat British prices by Matthew Wall (see PTO-892, Ref. V). Hereinafter Wall.

11. As per claim 1, Wall teaches a method for determining vehicle option premium to purchase or sale a new vehicle over a network connected to a central controller and a plurality of terminals, comprising the steps:

providing a vehicle manufacturer system linked to said network;

receiving over said network at said central controller, vehicle pricing information comprising first data representative of time to delivery of said new vehicle, a second data representative of a delivery destination of said new vehicle and third data representative of a price said user is willing to pay for said new vehicle;

calculating at said central controller the vehicle option premium based on said first data and said third data;

outputting the vehicle option premium to the user for decision over said network;

upon acceptance by said user of said vehicle option premium at said central controller, performing a payment transaction for said premium or a deposit over said network; and

creating a vehicle option contract to lock in said third data (see PTO-892, Ref. V).

12. As per claim 5, Wall teaches the method of claim 1 as described above. Wall further teaches receiving an indication that a user has purchased the vehicle option, updating a customer database to record purchase of the vehicle option; and posting transaction details accessible by all users (see PTO-892, Ref. V).

13. As per claim 6, Wall teaches the method of claim 1 as described above. Wall further teaches further comprising the steps of: receiving a user's request to purchase a vehicle utilising user's vehicle option; performing a payment transaction to pay the price; and updating a database to reflect the vehicle option is used (see PTO-892, Ref. V).

14. As per claim 7, Wall teaches the method of claim 1 as described above. Wall further teaches further comprising the steps of: receiving a user's request to sell vehicle using user's vehicle option; performing a payment transaction to pay the price; and updating a database to reflect the vehicle option is used (see PTO-892, Ref. V).

15. Claims 8 and 15 recite similar limitations to claim 1 and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 1 as set forth above.

16. Claims 13 and 19 recite similar limitations to claim 6 and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 6 as set forth above.

17. Claims 14 and 20 recite similar limitations to claim 7 and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 7 as set forth above.

***Conclusion***

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahid R. Merchant whose telephone number is 571-270-1360. The examiner can normally be reached on First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz P. Abdi can be reached on 571-272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number:  
10/728,222  
Art Unit: 3692

Page 7

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SRM



KAMBIZ ABDI  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
SPE 3692